UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,069 | 05/11/2007 | Werner Brandstatter | NOS-111 | 9981 |
| Pauley Petersen | 7590 02/06/200 a & Erickson | EXAMINER | | |
| 2800 West Higgins Road Suite 365 | | | JENNINGS, STEPHANIE M | |
| | Hoffman Estates, IL 60195 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3725 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/06/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/566,069 | BRANDSTATTER ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | STEPHANIE JENNINGS | 3725 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after six or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON | DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 are subject to restriction and/or | rawn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the specific sp | ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applica iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ntion No ved in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | |

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-28, drawn to a method for producing a hardened profile part.

Group II, claim(s) 29-43, drawn to a corrosion-protection layer.

for Invention I and 428/610 for Invention II.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

(1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or

(2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of claim 29 does not require the specifics of the sheet tape into a roller-formed profiled strand as required by the method of claim 1. Additionally, the composition product as claimed in claim 43 is not required for the process as claimed in claim 1. Inventions I and II would also require a different field of search in class and subclass 72/432.5

Art Unit: 3725

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to

Art Unit: 3725

petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHANIE JENNINGS whose telephone number is (571) 270-7392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7 am - 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725

/S. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 February 3, 2009